The Angelman Syndrome Protein Ube3A Regulates Synapse Development by Ubiquitinating Arc
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SUMMARY

Angelman Syndrome is a debilitating neurological disorder caused by mutation of the E3 ubiquitin ligase Ube3A, a gene whose mutation has also recently been associated with autism spectrum disorders (ASDs). The function of Ube3A during nervous system development and how Ube3A mutations give rise to cognitive impairment in individuals with Angelman Syndrome and ASDs are not clear. We report here that experience-driven neuronal activity induces Ube3A transcription and that Ube3A then regulates excitatory synapse development by controlling the degradation of Arc, a synaptic protein that promotes the internalization of the AMPA subtype of glutamate receptors. We find that disruption of Ube3A function in neurons leads to an increase in Arc expression and a concomitant decrease in the number of AMPA receptors at excitatory synapses. We propose that this deregulation of AMPA receptor expression at synapses may contribute to the cognitive dysfunction that occurs in Angelman Syndrome and possibly other ASDs.

INTRODUCTION

Angelman Syndrome (AS) is a neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by motor dysfunction, severe mental retardation, speech impairment, seizures, and a high prevalence of autism (Williams et al., 2006). Genetic studies revealed that AS is associated with maternal deletions of chromosome 15q11-q13, paternal chromosome 15 uniparental disomy, or rare imprinting defects that affect the transcription of genes within 15q11-q13 (Clayton-Smith and Laan, 2003). Recent studies indicate that failure to inherit a normal maternal copy of the UBE3A gene (which resides within 15q11-q13) accounts for 85%–90% of AS cases, and specific loss-of-function mutations in human UBE3A have been identified in a subset of affected individuals (Kishino et al., 1997; Matsuura et al., 1997).

The role of Ube3A mutations in AS is supported by targeted inactivation of Ube3a in mice (Jiang et al., 1998; Miura et al., 2002). Upon inheritance of the mutation through the maternal germline, the mutant mice display features of AS. The finding that imprinting of Ube3A occurs in specific brain regions reinforces the idea that loss of Ube3A function in the nervous system underlies AS (Jiang et al., 1998; Albrecht et al., 1997).

The study of Ube3A mutations also provides insight into the causes of autism. Autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) are complex disorders characterized by impairment in social interactions and the occurrence of repetitive behaviors. Despite the high prevalence of ASDs, little is known about the etiology of these disorders. Nonetheless, there is a significant genetic component to ASDs, and thus considerable effort has gone into identifying genetic mutations that cause ASDs. These studies suggest that Ube3A is a candidate ASD gene. Abnormalities within chromosomal region 15q11-q13 are among the most prevalent mutations identified in ASDs, accounting for 1%–2% of all ASD cases (Sutcliffe et al., 2003; Cook et al., 1997). Recent reports indicate that copy number variance within the Ube3A locus is associated with autism (Glessner et al., 2009).

Despite the critical role that Ube3A plays in human cognitive function, little is known about Ube3A’s contribution to nervous system development or how the mutation of Ube3A leads to cognitive impairment. Electrophysiological experiments have demonstrated impaired long-term potentiation (LTP) in Ube3A knockout mice (Jiang et al., 1998). Additionally, a recent study implicates Ube3A in experience-dependent plasticity (Yashiro et al., 2009). While these experiments demonstrate a crucial role for Ube3A in synaptic transmission, the mechanisms by which Ube3A regulates synaptic function are poorly understood. Possible insight into how Ube3A functions may come from the finding that Ube3A is a member of the E3 ubiquitin ligase family.
of enzymes, a class of proteins that catalyzes the addition of ubiquitin moieties to target substrates, often leading to the degradation of the ubiquitinated protein. Genetic studies indicate that the ubiquitin ligase activity of Ube3A is necessary for normal human cognitive function inasmuch as disruption of this activity leads to AS (Cooper et al., 2004). Nevertheless, the neuronal substrates of Ube3A that mediate its effects on synaptic function remain unknown.

In this study, we sought to understand how disruption of Ube3A results in synaptic dysfunction. We find that Ube3A is a neuronal activity-regulated protein that controls synaptic function by ubiquitinating and degrading the synaptic protein Arc. In the absence of Ube3A, elevated levels of Arc accumulate in neurons, resulting in the excessive internalization of AMPA receptors (AMPArs) at synapses and impaired synaptic function. This impaired AMPAR trafficking may be a cause of the cognitive dysfunction that occurs in AS. These findings suggest potential therapeutic targets for treating AS, a disorder for which there is currently no effective therapy.

RESULTS

Activity-Dependent Regulation of Ube3A

One clue as to how Ube3A might function in nervous system development comes from the observation that the symptoms of AS and ASDs first become apparent within the first years of a child’s life (Williams et al., 2006), during which sensory experiences play a key role in shaping neuronal connectivity. The effect of environmental cues on cognitive development is mediated in part by the release of glutamate at excitatory synapses. This triggers a program of gene expression that plays a critical role in synapse development (Greer and Greenberg, 2008). We considered the possibility that AS may arise from a deficit in activity-dependent regulation of Ube3A.

We find that the expression of Ube3A messenger RNA (mRNA) in cultured neurons is significantly increased by either membrane depolarization or glutamate receptor activation (Figure 1A). Conversely, blocking neuronal activity with inhibitors of NMDARs, AMPARs and sodium channels results in a decrease in Ube3A mRNA expression (Figure S1A available online). Ube3A protein levels mirror the change in mRNA level under these conditions (Figure 1B and Figures S1B and S1C).

We next asked whether Ube3A expression is induced by neuronal activity in the intact mouse brain. During kainate-induced seizures, Ube3A mRNA and protein levels are increased compared to control (Figures S1D and S1E). Ube3A is also induced in response to environmental stimuli that trigger experience-dependent synaptic development (Figure 1C and Figure S1F). Mice in a cage containing novel objects to induce exploratory behavior exhibited increased Ube3A mRNA and protein expression compared to mice in a standard laboratory cage (Figure 1C and Figure S1F). These results demonstrate that Ube3A mRNA and protein levels are regulated by synaptic activity both in culture and in the intact brain. These findings raise the possibility that synaptic glutamate release during early life experiences activates Ube3A expression and that the absence of experience-dependent Ube3A induction may contribute to the neurological impairment in AS.

We investigated the mechanism by which neuronal activity triggers Ube3A induction. Analysis of Ube3A transcripts present in expressed sequence tag databases revealed three distinct mRNA transcripts that are likely transcribed from unique promoters. Of the Ube3A transcripts, those initiating from promoters 1 and 3 are induced by neuronal activity (Figure S1G), and their promoters contain binding sites for the activity-regulated transcription factor MEF2. These sites are conserved across phylogeny and lie within 2 kb of the putative transcriptional start sites of the two activity-regulated Ube3A transcripts (see below). The presence of potential MEF2-binding sites within Ube3A promoters was of interest because MEF2 is an activity-regulated transcription factor that controls synapse development and regulates genes implicated in ASDs (Flavell et al., 2006; Flavell et al., 2008; Morrow et al., 2008).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments revealed that DNA fragments corresponding to Ube3A promoters 1 and 3 are enriched in anti-MEF2 immunoprecipitates (Figure 1D and data not shown). By contrast, there was no enrichment for DNA sequences surrounding Ube3A promoter 2 (Figure 1D). These data suggest that MEF2 may directly control the activity-dependent transcription of Ube3A from promoters 1 and 3.

The neuronal activity-dependent induction of Ube3A promoter 1- and 3-driven mRNA transcripts and Ube3A protein are significantly reduced in neurons infected with lentiviruses encoding short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) targeting the MEF2 family members MEF2A and MEF2D (Figures 1E and 1F). By contrast, the expression of Ube3A promoter 2-dependent mRNA transcripts, as well as GAPDH and beta3-tubulin, is unaffected by the presence of MEF2 shRNA (Figure 1E). These experiments indicate that in response to neuronal activity, Ube3A promoter 1- and 3-driven mRNA transcripts and Ube3A protein expression are induced by a MEF2-dependent mechanism.

Identification of Ube3A Substrates

Regulation of Ube3A mRNA expression by neuronal activity along with the association of Ube3A with AS led us to investigate the role of Ube3A in nervous system development. Point mutations within the Ube3A coding region have been associated with AS, nearly all of which abrogate its E3 ubiquitin ligase activity (Cooper et al., 2004), suggesting that the catalytic activity of Ube3A is important for nervous system development.

Although several Ube3A substrates have been identified in nonneuronal cells, the identification of substrates of E3 ubiquitin ligases has been challenging. To identify Ube3A substrates, we employed a transgenic mouse in which a hemagglutinin epitope tagged-version of ubiquitin (HA-ubiquitin) is knocked into the HPRT locus (Ryu et al., 2007). These mice express similar levels of free ubiquitin in their brains to those detected in the brains of wild-type mice (Figure 2A). In addition, in the HA-ubiquitin mice HA-ubiquitin appears to be efficiently incorporated into substrates (Figures 2A and 2B). We crossed HA-ubiquitin transgenic mice with wild-type or Ube3A knockout mice and immunoprecipitated HA-ubiquitinated proteins from brain lysates of these mice. We then compared ubiquitinated proteins in wild-type and Ube3A knockout mice using quantitative mass
spectrometry. We reasoned that if a given protein were a substrate of Ube3A, then in the absence of Ube3A it would be less ubiquitinated and thus less efficiently precipitated with anti-HA antibodies. We therefore sought to identify HA-ubiquitinated proteins whose abundance was decreased in Ube3A knockout mice.

We identified the protein Sacsin as a candidate Ube3A substrate. We found peptides corresponding to ubiquitinated Sacsin in brain lysates of wild-type but not Ube3A knockout mice, suggesting that Sacsin might not be efficiently ubiquitinated in the absence of Ube3A (Figure 2C). Sacsin is of interest as it is mutated in Charelvoix-Saguenay spastic ataxia, a neurological disorder with similarities to AS (Engert et al., 2000). However, little is known about Sacsin’s role in nervous system development and the large size of the Sacsin protein suggested it would be difficult to study. Nevertheless, we found that Sacsin has a 75 amino acid stretch that has similarity to a previously identified Ube3A substrate HHR23A (Figure 2D). This region of homology corresponds to a well-characterized region of HHR23A consisting of five amphipathic helices, suggesting that the corresponding region in Sacsin may have a similar structure (Kamionka and Feigon, 2004). As the specificity of ubiquitin ligases is most strongly determined by substrate binding, we hypothesized that this region of similarity between Sacsin and

Figure 1. Regulation of Ube3A by Neuronal Activity
(A) qRT-PCR analysis of Ube3A mRNA extracted from hippocampal neurons at E18 + 10 days in vitro (DIV) stimulated for 5 hr with the indicated agent (Glut., glutamate; Bic., bicuculline). Data are presented as mean ± SEM from three independent experiments. * indicates statistical significance in pairwise comparison: p < 0.01 t test.
(B) Western blot analyses of Ube3A and beta-tubulin. Protein lysates were collected from E18 + 10 DIV hippocampal neurons after stimulation with 55 mM KCl for 7 hr. Three independent experiments were performed, and a representative western blot is shown.
(C) qRT-PCR examining Ube3A and GAPDH mRNA levels in hippocampi of mice placed in standard laboratory cages (control) or in cages with novel objects (novel environment). The expression of Ube3A and GAPDH is normalized to the expression of beta-tubulin, which serves as an internal standard. Data are presented as mean ± SEM from three independent experiments. * indicates statistical significance in pairwise comparison: p < 0.05 t test.
(D) Chromatin immunoprecipitation with control or anti-MEF2 antibodies. PCR amplification is performed on genomic regions corresponding to the promoter regions of the three Ube3A transcripts.
(E) qRT-PCR analysis of the three Ube3A transcripts in hippocampal neurons transduced with lentivirus expressing either control shRNA or shRNAs targeting MEF2A and MEF2D. Neurons were stimulated with 55 mM KCl for 6 hr before mRNA was harvested. Data are plotted as fold induction of stimulated cells over unstimulated cells. Data are presented as mean ± SEM from three independent experiments. * indicates statistical significance in pairwise comparison: p < 0.01 t test.
(F) Western blot analyses of MEF2D, MEF2A, Ube3A, and the loading control Vav2. Protein lysates were collected from hippocampal neurons at E18 + 10 DIV. Neurons were uninfected or transduced with lentivirus encoding a control shRNA or shRNA targeting MEF2A and MEF2D at E18 + 3 DIV. This experiment was performed three times independently, and a representative western blot is shown here. See also Figure S1.
HHR23A might serve as a Ube3A binding domain (UBD) that might be present in other Ube3A targets.

To test this hypothesis, we generated a mutant form of HHR23A (ΔHHR23A) that lacks the UBD and assessed its ability to interact with, and be ubiquitinated by Ube3A. While wild-type HHR23A efficiently interacts with Ube3A, mutation of the UBD in HHR23A blocks this interaction (Figure 2E). Likewise, this domain is required for Ube3A to ubiquitinate HHR23A (data not shown). These results suggest the existence of a motif on Ube3A substrates that mediates binding to Ube3A.

A search of mammalian genomes for proteins that contain the UBD identified proteins including the synaptic protein Arc (Figure 3A) (S.S. Margolis and M.E.G., unpublished data). We focused our attention on Arc as Arc regulates the trafficking of alpha-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazole-propionate (AMPA) type of glutamate receptors at synapses (Chowdhury et al., 2006), suggesting that these two proteins might function together in response to synaptic activation.

To assess whether Arc is a Ube3A substrate, we asked whether Arc and Ube3A interact. Purified Arc binds Ube3A in a manner that is dependent upon the UBD within Arc (Figures 3B and 3C). Coimmunoprecipitation experiments using mouse brain extracts confirmed that Arc and Ube3A also interact in the intact brain (Figure S2A). We then performed in vitro ubiquitination assays using purified recombinant proteins. Ube3A ubiquitinated Arc in vitro but did not ubiquitinate the control proteins p53 or MeCP2 (Scheffner et al., 1993) (Figure 3D and data not shown). A catalytically inactive form of Ube3A (Ube3A C833A) was incapable of catalyzing the ubiquitination of Arc (Kumar et al., 1999) (data not shown and see below).

To test whether Ube3A promotes the ubiquitination of Arc within cells, we transfected HEK293T cells with Arc and either Ube3A C833A or wild-type Ube3A. Coexpression of wild-type Ube3A, but not Ube3A C833A, led to a decrease in the level of Arc (Figure 3E). Incubation of transfected HEK293T cells with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 blocked Ube3A-mediated degradation of Arc, suggesting that Ube3A degrades Arc via the ubiquitin proteasome (Figure S2B). The ubiquitination of Arc by Ube3A was confirmed by mass spectrometry (Figures S2C and S2D).

We next compared Arc expression in the brains of wild-type and Ube3A knockout mice. As the expression of both Ube3A and Arc is enhanced by neuronal activity, we exposed the mice to kainic acid or an enriched environment to boost the levels of Ube3A and Arc protein. Under these conditions, we detect higher levels of Arc protein in the wild-type brain contributing to Arc degradation. In contrast to Arc, the activity-dependent phosphorylation of the transcriptional regulator MeCP2 and the
induction of the activity-regulated transcription factor NPAS4 are similar in wild-type and Ube3A knockout brains, suggesting that the increase in Arc in Ube3A knockout mouse brain is not the result of an overall increase in the activity-dependent gene response (Figure 3F and data not shown) (Zhou et al., 2006; Lin et al., 2008). Furthermore, Arc mRNA levels are similar in the

Figure 3. Arc Is a Ube3A Substrate

(A) Sequence alignment of Arc (amino acids 255–318) and HHR23A (amino acids 233–290). Identical residues are in red and similar residues are in blue. We would like to note that as the UBD may represent a sequence that encodes a particular protein folding structure, a strict one-to-one map of specific residues is not observed. Dashed lines indicate a gap in sequence.

(B) In vitro binding experiments using recombinant Arc, ArcΔUBD, and GST-tagged Ube3A, precipitated with glutathione Sepharose beads and western blotted with an anti-Arc antibody.

(C) Quantitative analysis of in vitro binding experiments using recombinant Arc, or ArcΔUBD, and Ube3A. Western blotting was performed with an anti-Arc antibody. Percentage binding refers to the percent of Arc bound to Ube3A relative to the input. Data are presented as mean ± SEM from three independent experiments. * indicates statistical significance p < 0.01, t test.

(D) In vitro ubiquitination assay of Arc in the presence of Ubiquitin (Ub) and/or Ube3A. Western blot analysis using anti-Arc, anti-Ube3A, or anti-actin antibodies on lysates from HEK293T cells transfected with the indicated constructs.

(E) Western blot analysis using anti-Arc, anti-Ube3A, or anti-actin antibodies on lysates from HEK293T cells transfected with the indicated constructs.

(F) Western blot analysis of protein lysates prepared from the hippocampi of wild-type and Ube3A knockout mice which had been injected with kainic acid. Western blots performed with anti-MeCP2, anti-phospho-MeCP2, and anti-Arc antibodies as indicated. Three individual experiments representing at least five animals per genotype were performed, and a representative example is shown.

(G) Quantification of Arc protein by western blot analysis of protein lysates prepared from the hippocampi of wild-type and Ube3A knockout mice which had been exposed to an enriched environment. Data represent mean ± SEM from four animals of each genotype. * denotes significance in pairwise comparison to control: p < 0.01 t test.

(H) Quantification of Arc protein by western blot analysis of protein lysates prepared from synaptosomes isolated from hippocampi of wild-type and Ube3A knockout mice that had been injected with kainic acid. Data represent mean ± SEM from three animals of each genotype. * denotes significance in pairwise comparison to control: p < 0.05 t test.

(I) Real-time quantitative PCR analysis of Arc mRNA extracted from wild-type and Ube3A knockout mice seized with kainic acid used in (F). Data are presented as mean ± SEM from three independent experiments.

See also Figure S2.
brains of wild-type and Ube3A knockout mice, indicating that the increase in the level of Arc protein detected in Ube3A knockout neurons is likely due to a defect in Ube3A-mediated degradation of Arc (Figure 4C). Given that Arc is ubiquitinated by Ube3A in vitro and in intact cells and that the level of Arc protein is significantly higher in Ube3A knockout mice, we conclude that Arc is a Ube3A substrate and that the decreased ubiquitination of Arc in Ube3A knockout mice results in increased levels of Arc in the brains of these animals.

**Regulation of AMPA Receptor Expression and Function by Ube3A**

Arc regulates the surface expression of AMPA receptors (AMPARs), mediators of fast excitatory neurotransmission in the CNS. Reduction of Arc expression leads to an increase in the surface expression of AMPARs, whereas increasing Arc levels decreases the plasma membrane expression of AMPARs (Chowdhury et al., 2006; Rial Verde et al., 2006; Shepherd et al., 2006). As Arc levels are elevated in the absence of Ube3A, it is possible that there is a concomitant decrease in the expression of AMPARs on the plasma membrane. Such a finding would suggest a mechanism for the cognitive dysfunction observed in individuals with AS.

We asked whether reduction of Ube3A expression decreases the plasma membrane expression of AMPARs. We decreased Ube3A expression by transfecting neurons with shRNAs that target Ube3A expression and then assessed the surface expression of AMPARs (Figures S3A and S3B). We focused on the GluR1 subunit of the AMPA receptor, as GluR1 insertion into the plasma membrane is regulated by neuronal activity and by Arc (Newpher and Ehlers, 2008; Kessels and Malinow, 2009; Rial Verde et al., 2006; Shepherd et al., 2006). To examine GluR1 expression at the plasma membrane of neurons, we stained hippocampal neurons with anti-GluR1 antibodies under nonpermeabilizing conditions and quantified the number of GluR1 puncta expressed on the cell surface. Expression of either of two shRNAs targeting Ube3A results in a reduction in the levels of GluR1 puncta compared to control shRNA-transfected neurons (Figures S3A and S3B). This decrease in surface GluR1 was not due to a change in the expression of AMPARs, as wild-type and Ube3A-deficient cells expressed similar levels of GluR1 and GluR2 subunits (data not shown).

Furthermore, the plasma membrane expression of NR1 subunits of the NMDA receptor was unaltered in Ube3A-deficient cells (Figure 4B).

As AMPA receptors are trafficked in and out of synapses, we examined the effect of Ube3A knockdown on surface postsynaptic AMPA levels, quantifying the number of GluR1 cell surface puncta that colocalize with the postsynaptic scaffolding protein PSD95. We find that shRNAs targeting Ube3A cause a reduction in the number of GluR1 puncta colocalizing with PSD95, indicating that Ube3A regulates recruitment of AMPA receptors to the postsynaptic region (Figure 4C).

We asked whether AMPAR endocytosis is enhanced in the absence of Ube3A. We used GluR1-specific antibodies to label surface AMPARs on neurons transfected with shRNAs targeted to Ube3A. After membrane depolarization to induce the endocytosis of synaptic AMPARs, anti-GluR1 antibodies bound to the remaining surface GluR1 subunits were removed by acid stripping (Man et al., 2007). Subsequent permeabilization of the cells and staining with fluorescent secondary antibodies to detect the internalized component of GluR1 revealed increased levels of endocytosed GluR1 in Ube3A shRNA-expressing cells compared to control shRNA-transfected neurons (Figure 4D). Thus, the decreased expression of AMPARs in the plasma membrane of synapses of Ube3A-deficient cells is due, at least in part, to an increase in AMPAR endocytosis.

To investigate whether increased AMPAR endocytosis affects AMPAR function at synapses, we recorded miniature excitatory postsynaptic currents (mEPSCs) in neurons expressing Ube3A-directed shRNAs. Compared to control shRNAs, the transfection of Ube3A shRNAs results in a significant decrease in mEPSC frequency with no change in mEPSC amplitude (Figures 4E–4G). This decrease in mEPSC frequency could be rescued by coexpression of an RNAI-resistant form of Ube3A. As mEPSC frequency is a measure of AMPAR-mediated synaptic transmission, this observation suggests that AMPAR function is altered at synapses of Ube3A-deficient neurons.

The observation that when Ube3A expression is knocked down there is a reduction in mEPSC frequency with no change in mEPSC amplitude could be explained by any of three possibilities: (1) a reduction in the number of synapses formed on the Ube3A-deficient neuron, (2) reduced presynaptic probability of neurotransmitter release from neurons that synapse onto Ube3A-deficient neurons, or (3) a subset of synapses that form on Ube3A-deficient neurons could lack AMPA receptors and thus would be “silent synapses,” not readily detected by mEPSC recordings. To distinguish between these possibilities, we determined whether there are fewer synapses formed when Ube3A is knocked down. At the time point of analysis where we detect reduced mEPSC frequency, we observe no significant change in dendritic spine density or the number of synapses that form on Ube3A-deficient neurons (Figures S3D and S3E). These findings, and the absence of any detectable change in the formation of inhibitory synapses, neuronal morphology, or cell survival when Ube3A expression is knocked down (data not shown), suggest that the decrease in mEPSC frequency does not reflect a decrease in the number of synaptic connections formed on Ube3A-deficient neurons.

Although we cannot rule out the possibility that a decrease in Ube3A expression in the postsynaptic neuron reduces the presynaptic probability of release, we favor the hypothesis that the loss of Ube3A leads to the elimination of AMPAR expression from a subset of synapses for a number of reasons, including the following: (1) loss of Ube3A function results in an increase in the levels of Arc, a protein whose expression has been shown to promote the endocytosis of AMPAR, (2) in the absence of Ube3A, we observe fewer GluR1 puncta that colocalize with PSD95, suggesting that when the level of Ube3A protein is reduced there are synapses that may not express AMPARs, and (3) there is a reduction in the ratio of AMPA/NMDA receptor-mediated transmission in Ube3A knockout neurons (see below), consistent with the idea that some synapses that form on Ube3A-deficient neurons lack AMPARs.
Arc Mediates the Effect of Ube3A on AMPAR Trafficking

We asked whether Ube3A enhances AMPAR endocytosis by ubiquitinating and degrading Arc. If the enhanced AMPAR endocytosis observed after Ube3A knockdown is mediated by the dysregulation of the ubiquitination of Arc, we would make the following predictions: (1) Ube3A’s ubiquitin ligase activity would be required for its effect on AMPAR endocytosis, (2) overexpression of Arc would phenocopy the loss of Ube3A and reduce AMPAR plasma membrane expression, and (3) in Ube3A-deficient cells, restoring Arc expression to the level seen in wild-type neurons should rescue the decrease in GluR1 surface expression observed in the absence of Ube3A.

To test these predictions, we examined whether the ubiquitin ligase activity of Ube3A is required for Ube3A to promote AMPAR expression at synapses. We generated a Ube3A mutant in which the cysteine residue within the active site of the Ube3A ligase is mutated to alanine (Ube3A C833A), reasoning that when overexpressed this mutant should act in a dominant interfering manner to block the ability of endogenous Ube3A to ubiquitinate its substrates. We found this to be true as overexpression of Ube3A C833A blocks the ability of wild-type Ube3A to ubiquitinate and degrade its substrates (Figures 5A–5C).

Figure 4. Ube3A Regulates AMPAR Function

(A) Quantification of plasma membrane expression of AMPARs on E18 + 14 DIV hippocampal neurons transfected at 10 DIV with GFP and vector control, either of two shRNAs targeting Ube3A (RNAi 1 or 2), scrambled control shRNA (scRNAi 1 or 2), a form of Ube3A that is resistant to Ube3A shRNA (Ube3Ares), or Ube3A shRNA and Ube3A that is RNA resistant (Ube3Ares + RNAi 2). At least 35 neurons were imaged for each condition. Data are presented as mean ± SEM from three independent experiments. * indicates statistical significance p < 0.05, ANOVA with a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons.

(B) Quantification of plasma membrane expression of NMDA receptors on E18 + 14 DIV hippocampal neurons transfected at 10 DIV with GFP and vector control, either of two shRNAs targeting Ube3A (RNAi 1 or 2), or a scrambled control shRNA (scRNAi 1). At least 20 neurons were imaged for each condition, and data are presented as mean ± SEM from three independent experiments. * indicates statistical significance p < 0.05, ANOVA with a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons.

(C) Same as in (A) except only GluR1 puncta that colocalize with PSD95 are counted. At least 29 neurons were imaged for each condition, and data are presented as mean ± SEM from three independent experiments. * indicates statistical significance p < 0.05, ANOVA with a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons.

(D) Quantification of internalized GluR1 receptors from E18 + 14 DIV hippocampal neurons transfected at 10 DIV with GFP plus vector, Ube3a shRNA, or control scrambled shRNA. Data are presented as mean ± SEM from three independent experiments. * indicates statistical significance p < 0.05, ANOVA with a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons.

(E) Representative mEPSC traces of control transfected (top) or Ube3A RNAi transfected neurons (bottom) used for analysis in (F) and (G).

(F) Quantification of mEPSC interevent interval (the time between mEPSC events and thus inversely proportional to mEPSC frequency) from E18 + 14 DIV hippocampal neurons transfected as in (A). Data are presented as mean ± SEM from three independent experiments. * indicates statistical significance p < 0.01, t test.

(G) Quantification of mEPSC amplitude from E18 + 14 DIV hippocampal neurons transfected as in (A). Data are presented as mean ± SEM from three independent experiments.

See also Figure S3.
transfected neurons with wild-type Ube3A or Ube3A C833A. Overexpression of Ube3A C833A but not wild-type Ube3A causes a significant reduction in the number of AMPARs present on the cell surface, suggesting that Ube3A ubiquitin ligase activity is critical to the ability of Ube3A to promote expression of AMPARs at synapses (Figure 5D and Figure S4A).

We asked whether the overexpression of Arc phenocopies the loss of Ube3A and reduces AMPAR expression. As previously reported, the overexpression of Arc results in a decrease in the plasma membrane expression of GluR1 (Chowdhury et al., 2006; Rial Verde et al., 2006; Shepherd et al., 2006) (Figure 5E). Coexpression of Ube3A with wild-type Arc attenuates the ability of Arc to promote the endocytosis of GluR1. When a version of Arc lacking the UBD (ArcΔUBD) was overexpressed in neurons, this form of Arc still promoted the endocytosis of GluR1 but the co-expression of Ube3A did not reverse this effect (Figure 5E). This suggests that Ube3A’s ability to reduce the endocytosis of AMPARs is due to Ube3A-mediated degradation of Arc.

To further investigate whether the ability of Ube3A to promote the expression of AMPARs at synapses is due to Ube3A-dependent Arc ubiquitination and degradation, neurons were transfected with shRNAs targeting Ube3A to reduce Ube3A expression and/or shRNA directed against Arc to decrease Arc expression and the effect on AMPAR cell surface expression assessed. As described above, the expression of shRNAs...
targeting Ube3A in neurons led to a reduction in the number of AMPARs at the neuronal cell surface (Figure 5F). Introduction of shRNAs directed against Arc, but not control shRNAs, significantly reduced Arc expression in HEK293T cells (Figure S4B) and when transfected into neurons caused a small but statistically insignificant increase in surface AMPAR expression (Figure 5F). The failure of Arc shRNAs when transfected alone to affect AMPAR surface expression likely reflects the fact that given the low level of neuronal activity in these cultures Arc levels are also quite low and only minimally affect AMPAR surface expression. Consistent with this possibility, in older cultures the expression of Arc shRNAs results in an increase in AMPAR plasma membrane expression (Figure S4C). The lack of significant Arc expression in younger neuronal cultures may also explain why we find that overexpression of Ube3A does not significantly affect the plasma membrane expression of AMPARs in younger neuronal cultures. Expression of shRNAs against Ube3A together with an shRNA directed against Arc blocked the ability of Ube3A shRNA to suppress AMPAR expression at synapses (Figure 5F and Figure S5). These findings suggest that Ube3A promotes the expression of AMPARs at the plasma membrane of synapses by ubiquitinating and degrading Arc and that in the absence of Ube3A there is an excess of Arc protein, resulting in increased endocytosis of AMPARs.

Analysis of AMPAR Function in Ube3A Knockout Mice

These findings suggest that in AS, the absence of Ube3A activity may lead to an increase in Arc expression, thereby resulting in a reduction in the expression of AMPARs at synapses. To investigate this, we examined AMPAR expression and function at the synapses of Ube3A knockout mice that display features of AS (Jiang et al., 1998). We cultured neurons from Ube3A knockout or wild-type mice and assessed the expression of AMPARs. Ube3A knockout neurons had reduced GluR1 expression at the plasma membrane of synapses when compared to wild-type neurons (Figure 6A). This effect appears to be specific to AMPARs as we observe no change in the surface expression of NMDARs (Figure 6B). Expression of shRNAs targeting Arc in Ube3A knockout neurons restores the expression of GluR1 surface expression in Ube3A knockout neurons (Figure 6C). These experiments suggest that the excessive internalization of AMPARs in Ube3A knockout neurons is likely a result of a failure to ubiquitinate and degrade Arc.

To determine whether GluR1 expression at synapses is dysregulated in Ube3A knockout neurons in the context of an intact neuronal circuit, we employed array tomography, a technique in which ultra-thin sections of brain tissue are stained, imaged, and synapses visualized as a 3D reconstruction (Micheva and Smith, 2007). We performed array tomography using anti-GluR1 antibodies to visualize AMPARs and anti-SV2 antibodies to mark presynaptic sites. The density of GluR1 puncta closely apposed to an SV2 puncta is decreased in Ube3A knockout mice (Figure 6D and Figure S6). The density of SV2 puncta remained constant between the two genotypes, suggesting that the decrease in GluR1 synaptic localization in Ube3A knockout sections is not a result of fewer available presynaptic sites and instead reflects a decrease in GluR1 expression at synapses. In contrast, we found that the number of NR1 puncta associated with SV2 puncta was similar at the synapses in the hippocampi of wild-type and Ube3A knockout mice, suggesting that the expression of AMPARs is selectively decreased in the brains of Ube3A knockout mice (Figures 6E and 6F and Figure S6). This reduction in AMPAR expression at the synapses of Ube3A knockout mice is not a result of decreased overall expression of GluR1 as wild-type and Ube3A knockout mice express similar levels of GluR1 and NR1 in their hippocampi (Figure 6G).

To determine whether the decreased expression of AMPARs at the synapses of Ube3A knockout mice results in a functional decrease in synaptic transmission, whole-cell recordings were made from CA1 hippocampal pyramidal neurons. We observe a significant decrease in the ratio of AMPA to NMDA receptor-mediated currents in Ube3A knockouts compared to wild-type mice (Figures 7A and 7B). While this decrease in AMPA/NMDA current receptor ratio could reflect either a decrease in AMPAR or an increase in NMDAR currents, our findings that in Ube3A knockout mice there is a decrease in AMPAR expression at synapses but no change in NMDAR expression suggests that the decrease in AMPA/NMDA current ratio is most likely due to a decrease in AMPAR-mediated currents in Ube3A knockout mice.

As an independent means of assessing the effect of disrupting Ube3A on AMPAR function, we recorded mEPSCs from wild-type and Ube3A knockout hippocampal pyramidal neurons in acute slice preparations. We observe a reduction in the frequency of mEPSCs with no corresponding change in mEPSC frequency or amplitude in Ube3A knockout neurons compared to wild-type neurons (Figures 7C–7E and Figure S7). This observation supports the conclusion that AMPAR expression and function at synapses are significantly decreased in Ube3A knockout neurons.

DISCUSSION

Although it has been appreciated for more than a decade that mutation of Ube3A results in AS, remarkably little is understood about the role of Ube3A in nervous system development and function or why mutation of Ube3A results in the cognitive impairment underlying AS. This lack of insight has hampered the development of therapeutic strategies for treating AS, and as a result there are currently no effective treatments for this disorder. In this study, we demonstrate that in the absence of synaptic activation, Ube3A and Arc are expressed at low levels. However, in response to glutamate release at excitatory synapses, Arc is induced with relatively rapid kinetics (Flavell et al., 2006) and endocytoses AMPAR from the plasma membrane. This induction of Arc is likely important for limiting the level of neuronal excitation since Arc-mediated endocytosis of AMPARs dampens neuronal excitability. However, the level of Arc expression must be effectively regulated for synapses to function appropriately. We find that Ube3A transcription is induced postsynaptically upon glutamate release at synapses with delayed kinetics relative to Arc and that Ube3A then functions to control the level of Arc protein expression by ubiquitinating and degrading Arc. In this way, Ube3A tempers the Arc-mediated internalization of AMPARs. The absence of Ube3A activity in Ube3A knockout mice results in increased...
levels of Arc, and excessive internalization of AMPARs, leading to fewer synapses that express AMPARs at the plasma membrane and to defects in synaptic transmission.

Consistent with these observations, a recent study has demonstrated that Ube3A plays a role in experience-dependent synaptic plasticity (Yashiro et al., 2009). Although Ube3A is not required for the initial sensory-independent stages of synapse development, Ube3A is necessary for sensory experience-driven maturation of excitatory circuits, as Ube3A knockout mice have deficits in LTP, LTD, and decreased mEPSCs in visual cortex. The observation that Ube3A plays a role in experience-driven synaptic plasticity may be explained by our finding that both Arc and Ube3A transcription are induced by sensory experience and that in response to neuronal activity in the absence of Ube3A, there is excessive accumulation of Arc and increased internalization of AMPARs. As AMPARs play a central role in neurotransmission and information processing, this defect in AMPAR expression and function in the absence of Ube3A is likely to explain, at least in part, the deficits in synaptic plasticity observed in the absence of Ube3A.

Our findings suggest that AS may be caused by the disruption of a crucial step in experience-dependent synaptic development and provide evidence that the neuronal activity-regulated gene program plays a key role in human cognitive development. Further support for this hypothesis comes from the observation that mutation of another activity-regulated MEF2 target gene, Slc9A6, results in phenotypes that mimic AS (Gilfillan et al., 2008). Recent studies have shown that additional components of the activity-regulated gene program including L-VSCC, RSK2, MeCP2, CBP, PDC101, and DIA1 are mutated in human disorders, particularly epilepsy and ASDs (reviewed in Greer and Greenberg, 2008). These findings suggest that further...
Although our results provide hope that it will be possible to develop therapies for treating individuals with AS, it is also likely that the defect in AMPAR expression at synapses is not the only thing that has gone awry in AS. For example, it is not obvious how impaired AMPAR receptor function results in an increased susceptibility to seizures and thus it is likely that Ube3A substrates contribute to AS. In the present study, we have defined a Ube3A binding domain that has aided in the identification of new Ube3A substrates. One of these substrates is the RhoGEF ephexin5, which plays an important role in restricting the number of synapses formed by a neuron (S.S. Margolis and M.E.G., unpublished data). We have also identified Sacsin as a Ube3A substrate that is mutated in Charlevoix-Saguenay spastic ataxia, and it is intriguing to speculate that in AS the absence of Ube3A-mediated ubiquitination of Sacsin may contribute to the movement disorders associated with AS. In addition to ephexin5 and Sacsin, we have identified a number of other proteins that contain the UBD. It will be important in the future to determine how these substrates work together with Ube3A to fine tune synapse development.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cell Cultures, Transfections, and Infections**

HEK293T cells and hippocampal neurons were cultured, transfected, and infected as previously described (Flavell et al., 2006). Organotypic slice cultures were prepared from P3-6 rat or mouse brains, and 350 µm slices of hippocampus were prepared and transfected as described previously (Zhou et al., 2006). For the Ube3A KO mice, mice were infected as previously described (Flavell et al., 2006). Organotypic slice cultures were prepared from P3-6 rat or mouse brains, and 350 µm slices of hippocampus were prepared and transfected as described previously (Zhou et al., 2006). For the Ube3A KO mice, mice were infected as previously described (Flavell et al., 2006). Organotypic slice cultures were prepared from P3-6 rat or mouse brains, and 350 µm slices of hippocampus were prepared and transfected as described previously (Zhou et al., 2006).
et al., 2006). Acute slices were prepared from P15–18 mice as described previously (Lin et al., 2008).

**Mice**

Ube3A knockout mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory, strain 129–Ube3A<sup>tm1(CB)J</sup>, from stock number 004477. HA-ubiquitin mice were previously described (Ryu et al., 2007). Seizure and enriched environment experiments were carried as described in the Extended Experimental Procedures.

**Quantitative Real-Time PCR**

PCR was carried out according to standard procedures. Specific primers are listed in the Extended Experimental Procedures.

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation**

Details of the chromatin immunoprecipitation and the primers used are described in the Extended Experimental Procedures.

**Image Analysis**

Images were acquired on a Zeiss LSM5 Pascal confocal microscope and spine and synapse analysis was performed as previously described (see the Extended Experimental Procedures).

**Plasmids**

Details of plasmid preparation are available in the Extended Experimental Procedures.

**Ubiquitination Assay and In Vitro Binding**

Two micrograms of Arc C-terminal protein (132–396 aa) was incubated with 2 μg of GST-WT or mutant Ube3A (C833A) in binding buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4], 50 mM NaCl, 4 mM ATP, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM dithiothreitol, and 1% Triton X-100). After 2 hr of mixing at 4°C, glutathione-Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) were added and incubated for another 2 hr. The beads were washed twice with PBS + 1% Triton X-100 and twice with PBS. Proteins were eluted with SDS sample buffer and analyzed by western blotting. For in vitro ubiquitination assays, 1 μg Arc C-terminal protein was incubated with 50 ng E1, 100 ng UbcH7, 200 ng each of WT or mutant (C833A) Ube3A, and 4 μg ubiquitin (BostonBiochem) in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 50 mM NaCl, 4 mM ATP, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 0.2 mM dithiothreitol. Reactions were terminated after 2 hr at 30°C by the addition of SDS sample buffer and were analyzed by western blotting.

**Surface GluR1 Staining**

Immunostaining of surface GluR1 receptors was performed as previously described (Chowdhury et al., 2006).

**Electrophysiology**

Electrophysiology was performed using standard methods (see the Extended Experimental Procedures for more detail).

**Ube3A Knockout Cultures**

Hippocampal cultures were prepared from Ube3A knockout and wild-type littermate mice at P2 using a protocol adopted from K. Condon and M. Ehlers. In brief, hippocampi were dissected in Dissociation Media (DM) (0.3% BSA, 12 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 10 mM HEPES, 0.6% glucose in Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution). Hippocampi were then placed in a papain solution 30 Units/mL in DM for 15 min before resuspension in Neurobasal Medium. The cells were then plated on glass coverslips that had been coated overnight with PDL.

**Array Tomography**

Array tomography was performed as described (Micheva and Smith, 2007) with modifications as described in the Extended Experimental Procedures.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information includes Extended Experimental Procedures and seven figures and can be found with this article online at doi:10.1016/j.cell.2010.01.026.
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